

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ANIRUDDHA P. JOSHI,
CHAD W. MERCER AND JENNY M. WOHLLETZ

Application 09/583,432

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on January 29, 2007. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.




On October 6, 2006, an Examiner's Answer was entered into the record. In the Evidence Relied Upon section, page no. 2, paragraph 8, the examiner has stated that "No evidence is relied upon by the examiner in the rejection of the claims under appeal. A review of the file reveals that references to Brusky 6,285,406, Miyagawa 4,989,081, Klosterman 5,923,362 and Mahvi 6,259,486 were applied to the statement of rejections in the Grounds of Rejection, paragraph (9) of the examiner's answer.

Before further review, the examiner must mail a PTOL-90 that will include in the amended Evidence Relied Upon section, the list of references mentioned in the Grounds of Rejections. See the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, (MPEP) § 1207.02. Appropriate correction is required.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned
to the Examiner to:

- 1) issue and mail a PTOL-90 having the missing references listed under the
Evidence Relied Upon section, heading (8) of the Examiner's Answer; and
- 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By: 
PATRICK J. NOLAN
Deputy Chief Appeal Administrator
(571) 272-9797

PJN/kis

CC: TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 S. VOSS ROAD
SUITE 750
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631